

Eads Station

Arlington, VA 22202

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,759 | 07/08/2003 | Joseph W. Schaffer | | 6109 |
| 75 | 90 10/13/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| JONES, TULLER & COOPER, P.C. | | | THOMPSON, JEWEL VERGIE | |
| P.O. Box 2266 | | | | |

2855

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/614,759 | SCHAFFER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jewel V Thompson | 2855 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply t. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/16/04</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ ⁻ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-940 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | ′ | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/614,759

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vander Heyden (4,663,977).

Regarding claim 1, Vander Heyden teaches a flow meter, comprising: a primary flow sensor (1,2); sensor electronics (fig. 1) connected to the primary flow sensor for proving a measurement signal; a signal processing unit (16) connected to the sensor electronics for determining the flow, the signal processing unit (16) being set to produce a signal proportional to the flow rate or the square of the flow rate (col. 13, lines 55-58); and an output signal generator (12) for generating an output signal proportional to the signal processing unit (col. 9, lines 23-24).

Regarding claim 3, Heyden teaches the primary flow sensor includes two ultrasonic transducers (1 and 2) serving as transmitters and receivers (col. 6, lines 35-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vander Heyden in view of Fletcher-Haynes (5,831,175).

Regarding claim 2, Vander Heyden fails to teach a communication interface, allowing a user to set the output signal to be proportional to the flow rate or the square of the flow rate. Fletcher-Haynes teaches a flow meter equipped with a suitable data input device, a keyboard (16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the keyboard of Fletcher-Haynes in the flow meter of Vander Heyden for the purpose of being supplied with user selectable constants and mode control commands (col. 8, lines 52-55, Fletcher-Haynes)

Regarding claim 4, Vander Heyden fails to explicitly teach the output signal generator comprises part of the signal processing unit. However the signal processor (16) is part of the entire system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time that the invention was made to have known that the generator of Vander Heyden is a part of the signal processor for the purpose of determining the sonic velocity from the signals generated from the generator (abstract, Vander Heyden)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Van Hayden does not mention producing a signal proportional to the flow rate or the square of the flow rate.

Examiner disagrees. The sited reference teaches in col. 13, lines 55-58 that the signal is proportional to the flow rate.

Applicant argues that Fletcher-Haynes does not disclose producing an output corresponding to the square of the flow rate.

Examiner disagrees. The sited reference teaches a communication interface allowing a user to set the output signal to be proportional to the flow rate or the square of the flow rate.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/614,759

Art Unit: 2855

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jewel V Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-2189. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30, off alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at §66-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 1, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5